

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/761,969	01/16/2001	Martha Garrity	A1712	A1712 5878	
33197	7590 04/07/2003	;			
STOUT, UXA, BUYAN & MULLINS LLP 4 VENTURE, SUITE 300 IRVINE, CA 92618			EXAMINER		
			. COOK, LISA V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1641	10	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/761,969		GARRITY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Lisa V. Cook		1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>anuary 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· <u> </u>	on of Claims							
,—	Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the application		ation					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
<u> </u>	8)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
, —	on Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	' =	•	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-41, are drawn to a kit for determining vitamin D concentration, classified in class 422, subclass 61 for example.
 - II. Claims 42 and 43, are drawn to a kit for determining vitamin D concentration via a releasing composition comprising NaOH or KOH, cyclodextrin, salicylate, and surfactant, classified in class 422, subclass 61.
 - III. Claims 44-62, are drawn to a releasing composition comprising an aqueous base component, classified in class 252, subclass 193.
 - IV. Claims 63-65, are drawn to a releasing composition comprising an aqueous base component, a cyclo-oligomer component, and a metal salicylate, classified in class 562, subclass 477.
 - V. Claims 66-79, are drawn to an assay method to measure vitamin D via a releasing component, however <u>no</u> detecting component is required, classified in class 436, subclass 501.
 - VI. Claims 80-83, are drawn to an assay method to measure vitamin D via a releasing component, detecting component, and antibody binding, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - VII. Claims 84, is drawn to an assay method to measure vitamin D via a releasing component, a detecting component in a homogeneous mixture, classified in class 436, subclass 536.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case each of the kit inventions in Groups I and II are patentably distinct because Group I merely requires a releasing composition and a detecting composition having various reagent embodiments. See independent claim 1. The kit in Group II comprises a releasing composition (NaOH or KOH, cyclodextrin, salicylate, and a surfactant) along with a detecting composition (antibody, acridinium, and a partner component). See independent claim 42. The two kits require different reagents and are therefore patenably distinct.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case each of the releasing compositions in Group III and Group IV are patentably distinct because they comprise diverse and distinct reagents. The releasing reagent of Group III merely requires an aqueous base component while the releasing reagent of Group IV requires an aqueous base component, and a metal salicylate. The releasing composition of Group III does not require a cyco-oligomer component and/or a metal salicylate. Therein restriction is proper.

Art Unit: 1641

Inventions V, VI and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case each of the method Groups are patentably distinct because Group V is drawn to an assay method to measure vitamin D via a releasing component, however <u>no</u> detecting component is required while Group VI is drawn to an assay method to measure vitamin D via a releasing component, detecting component, and antibody binding. The method of Group VII is additionally distinct because it is drawn to an assay method to measure vitamin D via a releasing component, a detecting component in a homogeneous mixture. These methods all utilize different reagents and have different method steps.

Inventions (V, VI, VII) and (I, II) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case either of the materially different processes of invention V, VI, or VII can be practiced by hand on with either of kit/apparatus of invention I or invention II.

Inventions (III, IV) and (I, II) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case either of the materially different products of invention III or IV can be utilized in either of the kits of invention II or invention I.

Art Unit: 1641

Inventions (III, IV) and (V, VI, VII) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In this case either of the materially different processes of invention V, VI, or VII can utilize either of the materially different products of invention III or invention IV.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Please note that the classifications in the restriction are illustrative only and **do not** represent all the classes and subclasses which must be searched for each invention; nor is the search limited to issued US patents, but rather includes foreign patents and applications as well as literature searches.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 5

Art Unit: 1641

4 · 4 (m

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO fax center located in Crystal

Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 1641 Fax number is (703) 308-4242,

which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lisa V. Cook whose telephone number is (703) 305-0808. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long Le, can be reached on (703) 305-3399.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Lisa V. Cook

Patent Examiner

CM1-7B17

April 2, 2003

LONGVIE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

04/03/03

Page 6